Research Reports on Mathematical and Computing Sciences

Applying DominoJ to GoF Design Patterns

YungYu Zhuang and Shigeru Chiba

December 2011, C–277

Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences Tokyo Institute of Technology SERIES C: COMPUTER Science

Applying DominoJ to GoF Design Patterns

YungYu Zhuang and Shigeru Chiba

Graduate School of Information Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Technical Report

Abstract

In this report we study practical effectiveness of *method slot*, which is a new language construct integrating events and methods. We use its language implementation, *DominoJ*, to implement design patterns in the "GoF" book, and found that 16 out of 23 patterns can benefit from it. Here we list down the sample code in Java and DominoJ for the 16 patterns, and discuss the benefits.

1 Introduction

Events have been known as useful programming concepts. In the languages without events support, some techniques such as Observer pattern are developed. On the other hand, in some languages such as EScala [3], events are introduced by language constructs which are separate from methods. We proposed a single mechanism named *method slot*, which supports both events and methods, and *DominoJ*¹, which extends Java to support method slots. In order to study its practical effectiveness, we implemented design patterns in the "GoF" book [2] in DominoJ and Java, and compared them with respect to the number of lines of code and modularity criteria borrowed from [4] as shown in Table 1. We classify the patterns into five categories according to the benefit brought by method slots. In the following sections we discuss what modularity properties can be improved and why method slots can be applied.

			Modularity P	roperties		#Lines o	r Sample Code
Pattern Name	Locality	Reusability	Composition	Unpluggability	Non-inheritance	in Java	in DominoJ
Chain of Responsibility	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	★	38	28
Composite		\checkmark	V V	\checkmark	√*	41	16
Decorator	\checkmark		V V	\checkmark	-	26	20
Observer	\checkmark	\checkmark	V V	\checkmark	√*	71	32
Proxy				\checkmark	★	47	61
State	√					66	69
Strategy			√	\sim	√*	36	28
Visitor	√		√			63	69
Abstract Factory	$\sqrt{*}$				★	41	58
Bridge	$\sqrt{*}$				√*	58	64
Builder	√*				√*	55	69
Factory Method	$\sqrt{*}$				×	67	97
Template Method	√				√*	31	45
Adapter	\checkmark					51	48
Facade	√*					34	53
Mediator	√			\checkmark		68	49
Command							
Flyweight							
Interpreter							
Iterator			Same imple	ementation for Java	a and DominoJ		
Memento							
Prototype							
Singleton							
		1 1 1 11	7 1 1 1	1 11 11			

Table 1: The benefit of applying DominoJ to design patterns	Table 1:	The	benefit o	of	applying	DominoJ	to	design	patterns
---	----------	-----	-----------	----	----------	---------	---------------------	--------	----------

The \checkmark mark means that DominoJ has better modularity than Java when implementing the pattern. The \star mark means that AspectJ does not provide such modularity when implementing the pattern, while DominoJ does.

¹The DominoJ compiler is available on the project page: http://www.csg.is.titech.ac.jp/projects/dominoj/

2 Event propagation

2.1 Observer Pattern

Intent

"Define a one-to-many dependency between objects so that when one object changes state, all its dependents are notified and updated automatically."—From the GoF book. What this pattern does is event mechanism. Once observers register themselves to the subject, the subject will notify them when something happens. The dependent list, registration, and notification are built in DominoJ, so the only thing we have to do is binding observers to the subject.

```
1
    // here we define Observer and Subject as interfaces due to single inheritance limitation
 \mathbf{2}
    // pattern code will be put into the classes which implement them
 3
    public interface IObserver {
 4
        public void updateBySubject(ISubject s);
    }
5
6
 7
    public interface ISubject {
 8
        public void attachObserver(IObserver o);
 9
        public void detachObserver(IObserver o);
        public void notifyObservers();
10
11
    }
12
    public class Timer {
13
14
          :
15
    }
16
17
    // the subject
18
    public class ClockTimer extends Timer implements ISubject {
        private ArrayList < IObserver > observers;
19
20
21
        public ClockTimer() {
             observers = new ArrayList < IObserver > ();
22
        l
23
24
        public void attachObserver(IObserver o) {
25
26
             observers.add(o);
        ŀ
27
28
29
        public void detachObserver(IObserver o) {
30
            observers.remove(o);
        }
31
32
        public void notifyObservers() {
33
            Iterator <IObserver > iter = observers.iterator();
34
35
             while(iter.hasNext()) {
36
                 iter.next().updateBySubject(this);
            7
37
        7
38
39
        // have to notify observers at the end of the method public void tick() \{
40
41
42
             notifyObservers();
43
44
        }
   }
45
46
47
    public class Widget {
48
          :
49
    }
50
51
    // the observer
    // the attaching and detaching are set inside
52
    public class DigitalClock extends Widget implements IObserver {
53
        private ISubject subject;
54
55
        public DigitalClock(ClockTimer s) {
56
57
             subject = s;
             subject.attachObserver(this);
58
59
        }
60
61
        // must handle the detaching manually
62
        public void finalize() {
63
             subject.detachObserver(this);
        }
64
65
        public void updateBySubject(ISubject s) {
66
            if(s == subject) {
67
```

```
68
                     draw():
69
                }
70
          }
71
72
           public void draw() {
73
74
75
                   :
           }
     }
76
77
     // client usage
78
     ClockTimer ct = new ClockTimer();
     DigitalClock dc = new DigitalClock(ct);
// then dc.draw() will be called after ct.tick() is called
79
80
```

```
1
    public class Timer {
2 \\ 3 \\ 4
            :
    }
\mathbf{5}
    // the subject
6
7
    public class ClockTimer extends Timer {
         public ClockTimer {
8
             tick += notifyObservers;
9
         }
10
11
         public void notifyObservers() {}
12
13
         public void tick() {
14
                :
         }
15
    }
16
17
18
    public class Widget {
19
           :
20
    }
21 \\ 22
    // the observer
23
    public class DigitalClock extends Widget {
24
         public DigitalClock(ClockTimer s) {
25
             // bind to single method and let the subject control the notification
26
              s.notifyObservers += draw;
27
              // of course you also can bind to tick() directly
28
              // ex: s.tick += draw;
29
         }
30
31
         public void draw() {
32
                :
         }
\frac{33}{34}
    }
35
36
     // client usage is the same as the one in Java
37
    ClockTimer ct = new ClockTimer();
    DigitalClock dc = new DigitalClock(ct);
// then dc.draw() will be called after ct.tick() is called
38
39
```

Consequences

Since multiple inheritance is prohibited in Java, we have to use interfaces instead and put pattern code (registration and notification) into ClockTimer and DigitalClock. We may move pattern code to their parent classes, Timer and Widget, but the classes which do not inherit from Timer or Widget still cannot take advantage of this pattern. It is a good idea to put pattern code into ancestor classes such as Object, but the best solution is putting them into language itself—what DominoJ does.

Locality	The code that defines the relation can be gathered up, and no pattern code.
Reusability	This pattern can be applied to any classes easily since it is built-in functionality.
Unpluggability	The subject-observer binding is object-based, and easy to be added or removed.
Composition	The code tangling can be avoided when a class is involved in several subject-object
	relations. For example, an object is observed by several observer groups for different
	purposes, and also observes another object at the same time.
Non-inheritance	No need to create classes or interfaces for the subject and the observer.

2.2Chain of Responsibility Pattern

Intent

"Avoid coupling the sender of a request to its receiver by giving more than one object a chance to handle the request. Chain the receiving objects and pass the request along the chain until an object handles it."-From the GoF book. The chain logic can be described by a series of events, so we can implement it in DominoJ by binding handlers in order.

Sample Code in Java

```
// there are two choices due to single inheritance limitation
// let every class implements chain interface (HelpHandler)
1
2
3
   // or put chain logic into the parent class (Widget)
   // here we choose the latter
4
   public class Widget {
        private Widget successor = null;
        public Widget(Widget parent) {
    setHandler(parent);
                :
        }
        public void setHandler(Widget handler) {
             successor = handler;
        }
        public boolean handleHelp() {
                                           return false;
             if(successor == null)
             return successor.handleHelp();
        }
   }
   public class Button extends Widget {
        public boolean handleHelp() {
             // return true if it can offer help
             // otherwise return super.handleHelp()
             . . .
                 return true;
             . . .
                  return super.handleHelp();
        }
           :
   }
   public class Dialog extends Widget {
       // overrides handleHelp like what Button does
          :
   3
   // client usage
   Dialog dialog = new Dialog();
Button btn1 = new Button(dialog);
Button btn2 = new Button(dialog);
    // client may change the successor
   btn1.setHandler(btn2);
```

```
// no need to define HelpHandler interface or put chain logic into Widget
 1
 \mathbf{2}
    // because the handler chain is built-in
    // declare handleHelp method in parent class directly
 3
 4
    public class Widget
                           {
 5
        public Widget(Widget parent) {
 6
             handleHelp += parent.handleHelp;
 7
               :
 8
        }
 9
10
         public boolean handleHelp() {}
11
    }
12
    public class Button extends Widget {
13
        public boolean handleHelp() {
14
15
            // just return if it is handled
             if($ret)
16
                         return true;
17
             \ensuremath{{\prime\prime}}\xspace return true if it is handled here, otherwise return false
18
              . . .
```

```
19
                     return true;
20
21
                    return false;
22
          }
23
             :
\frac{24}{25}
     }
26
     public class Dialog extends Widget {
27
          // overrides handleHelp like what Button does
28
             :
29
     }
30
     // client usage
31
     Dialog dialog = new Dialog();
Button btn1 = new Button(dialog);
Button btn2 = new Button(dialog);
32
33
34
35
     // clients may also append/prepend/replace the handler
36
     btn1.handleHelp = btn2.handleHelp;
```

DominoJ makes the chain relation more clear, especially when there are many chain relations among objects.

Locality	The chain relation among objects can be gathered up.
Reusability	The pattern can be applied anywhere since it can be described by built-in operators.
Unpluggability	The pattern is simply unplugged by removing the binding.
Composition	The code becomes complicated when there are multiple chain relations. It needs
	more fields and setter to keep successors for different chains. With DominoJ the
	code tangling can be avoided.
Non-inheritance	In Java the chain relation is usually declared as interface rather than base class
	because participant classes have to inherit from others. The chain logic has to be
	implemented by every participant class since the interface cannot contain imple-
	mentation. Another solution is merging the chain logic into base class as shown in
	the sample code, but this solution makes it difficult to separate pattern code from
	main logic.

2.3 Composite Pattern

Intent

1

 $^{2}_{3}$

4

5 6

 $7\\8$

 $9 \\ 10$

11

 $12 \\ 13$

 $\begin{array}{c}
 14 \\
 15 \\
 16
 \end{array}$

17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

"Compose objects into tree structures to represent part-whole hierarchies. Composite lets clients treat individual objects and compositions of objects uniformly."—From the GoF book. Usually the purpose of composing objects into tree structures is doing a specified operation. For example, UI libraries maintain a container tree for drawing the widgets in order. We can implement the idea by binding the operation methods.

```
// in this sample we want to get the sum of the values returned by operation method
// define a leaf class
public class Component {
    // this method return a value
    public int operation() {
         int value = 0:
         // do something and change the value
         return value;
    }
}
// define a composite class
public class Composite extends Component {
    ArrayList < Component > list;
    public Composite() {
         list = new ArrayList < Component >();
           :
    }
    public void add(Component c) {
```

```
24
                list.add(c):
           3
25
26
27
           public int operation() {
28
                 int value = 0;
29
                 // do something and change the value % \left( {{{\left( {{{{\left( {{{c}} \right)}}} \right)}}} \right)
30
                 .
// get the values returned by children
31
32
                 for(int i=0; i<list.size(); i++) {</pre>
                   value += list.get(i).operation();
33
34
                 }
35
                 return value;
           }
36
37
     }
38
39
      // client usage
40
     Composite comp1 = new Composite();
     Component leaf1 = new Component();
Composite comp2 = new Composite();
Component leaf2 = new Component();
41
42
43
     Component leaf3 = new Component();
44
     // construct the tree
45
46
     comp1.add(leaf1);
47
     comp1.add(comp2);
48
     comp2.add(leaf2);
     comp2.add(leaf3);
// get the sum
int sum = comp1.operation();
49
50
51
```

```
// Composite class is unnecessary
 1
        // bind the operation method of leaves to a leaf directly
  \mathbf{2}
  3
        public class Component {
  4
                public int operation() {
 5
  \frac{6}{7}
                        int value = 0;
                        // do something and change the value
  8
                           :
  9
                        return $ret + value;
10
                }
11
       }
12
        // client usage
Component comp1 = new Component();
13
14
       // Component can be used as a leaf or a composite
// you could construct the tree by binding leaves directly
15
16
       // you could construct the tree by
Component leaf1 = new Component();
Component leaf2 = new Component();
Component leaf3 = new Component();
leaf1.operation += leaf2.operation;
leaf1.operation += leaf3.operation;
// get the sum
int sum = loaf1 operation();
17
18
19
20
21
22
        int sum = leaf1.operation();
23
       // you also could let the tree look like the one in Java
// Component comp1 = new Component();
// Component leaf1 = new Component();
24
25
26
        // Component ccomp2 = new Component();
// Component leaf2 = new Component();
// Component leaf3 = new Component();
27
28
29
       // Component leaf3 = new Component();
// comp1.operation += leaf1.operation;
// comp1.operation += comp2.operation;
// comp2.operation += leaf2.operation;
// comp2.operation += leaf3.operation;
30
31
32
33
        // int sum = comp1.operation();
34
```

Consequences

Because a class can be a leaf or a composite, it is easy to adjust the structure. We do not have to prepare a composite class for a component class.

Reventility	No need to define and create the composite class, the relation can be applied to
neusuonny	any class
	any class.
Unpluggability	The unplugging can be done by removing the binding between objects.
Composition	When an object is put into several tree structures for different methods, we do not
	have to maintain similar code in several places.
Non-inheritance	A class can be used as a composite directly, so we can avoid declaring additional
	subclasses for composite.

$\mathbf{2.4}$ **Decorator Pattern**

Intent

1

8

11

12

14

15

17

21

27

"Attach additional responsibilities to an object dynamically. Decorators provide a flexible alternative to subclassing for extending functionality."—From the GoF book. The idea is similar to the idea in DominoJ, which provides a flexible alternative to extend functionality. It is easy to bypass the method calling in DominoJ.

Sample Code in Java

```
// define a class which is wrapped
 \mathbf{2}
    public class VisualComponent {
3
 4
         public void draw() {
 \mathbf{5}
                :
         }
 \mathbf{6}
\overline{7}
         public void resize() {
               :
 9
         }
10
    }
     // the decorator
    public class Decorator extends VisualComponent {
13
         private VisualComponent component;
16
         public Decorator(VisualComponent c) {
             component = c;
         }
18
19
20
         public void draw() {
             component.draw();
         3
22
23
         public void resize() {
24
25
             component.resize();
         }
26
28
         // provide other functionality
29
30
    }
```

Sample Code in DominoJ

```
1
    // the wrapped class is the same as the one in Java
 \mathbf{2}
    public class VisualComponent {
3
 4
         public void draw() {
5
               :
 6
        }
 7
        public void resize() {
 8
               :
9
         }
    }
10
11
12
    // the decorator
13
    public class Decorator extends VisualComponent {
        private VisualComponent component;
14
15
16
         public Decorator(VisualComponent c) {
             component = c;
// bypass some methods
17
18
             draw = component.draw;
19
             resize = component.resize;
20
21
        }
22
23
         // provide other functionality
24
25
    }
```

Consequences

There are two reasons that we still let Decorator inherit from VisualComponent. First, this pattern assumes the decorator is used as the wrapped component. Second, we do not have to define empty methods in the decorator for binding. Instead of bypassing, we can also use $^{=}$ or $^{=}$ to do some operations before or after the original method.

Locality	All bindings are put in the constructor together.
Unpluggability	Adding or removing the bypassing is easy, even in runtime.
Composition	When the decorator wraps many components at the same time, the relations are
	clear and can be switched dynamically.

3 Switch by method calls

3.1 Proxy Pattern

Intent

"Provide a surrogate or placeholder for another object to control access to it."—From the GoF book. With DominoJ the behavior of an object can be changed by binding the methods in another object to it. In other words, clients can use the same object whether it has proxy logic or not.

Sample Code in Java

```
// the original class
 1
\frac{1}{2}
    public class Image extends Graphic {
         public Image(String f) {
 4
                :
 5
         }
6
7
8
         public void draw() {
               :
9
         7
10
11
         public Point getExtent() {
12
              :
         3
13
    }
14
15
16
    // create a proxy for the original class
17
    public class ImageProxy extends Graphic {
18
         private Image image;
19
         private String filename;
20
         private Point extent;
21
22
         public ImageProxy(String f) {
23
             image = null;
             filename = f;
extent = Point.ZERO;
24
25
\overline{26}
         }
27
28
         public Image getImage() {
    if(image == null) {
29
30
                  image = new Image(filename);
31
             }
32
              return image;
         }
33
34
35
         public void draw() {
36
             getImage().draw();
         }
37
38
39
         public Point getExtent() {
             if(extent == Point.ZERO) {
    extent = getImage().getExtent();
40
41
42
              }
43
              return extent;
         }
44
    }
45
46
47
    // client usage
48
    ImageProxy proxy = new ImageProxy("anImageFileName");
49
    TextDocument text = new TextDocument();
50
     // here we assume that insert method accept all Graph classes
51
    text.insert(proxy);
```

```
1 // if there is an Image class which cannot be modified
2 public class Image extends Graphic {
3 // in this sample we need two-phase construction
4 public void load(String f) {
5 :
```

```
6
        }
 \overline{7}
 8
         public boolean isLoaded() {
 9
10
         }
11
         public void draw() {
12
13
                :
        }
14
15
16
         public Point getExtent() {
17
              :
        }
18
19
    }
20
21
    // create a proxy class to delay loading and cache some values
22
    // without inheriting from Image or Graphic
23
    public class ImageProxy {
24
        private Image image;
25
         private String filename;
26
        private Point extent = Point.ZERO;
27
28
         public ImageProxy(Image i) {
29
             image = i;
30
             \prime\prime\prime it only works for two-phase construction because we cannot replace constructor
              // keep filename and load it later
31
             image.load = fake;
32
33
             // use generic handlers to load file before some operations
             image.draw ^= voidLoad;
34
             image.getExtent ^= objLoad;
35
36
              // get extent value when it is used at the first time
37
             image.getExtent += getExtent;
        }
38
39
40
        public void fake(String f) {
41
             filename = f;
        3
42
43
         // for methods which have return tupe
44
45
        public Object objLoad(Object[] args) {
46
             voidLoad(args);
47
             return null;
48
        }
49
         // restore original load function and call it
50
        public void voidLoad(Object[] args) {
51
52
             if(!image.isLoaded()) {
                 image.load = image.load;
53
                  image.load(filename);
54
55
             }
        }
56
57
         // cache the value
58
59
        public Point getExtent() {
60
             if(extent == Point.ZERO && $ret != null)
                                                               extent = $ret:
61
             return extent;
62
        }
    }
63
64
    // client usage
65
66
    Image image = new Image();
    // create a proxy and still use the image object
ImageProxy proxy = new ImageProxy(image);
image.load("anImageFileName");
67
68
69
70
    // the image will be loaded when it is really used
71
    image.draw();
    image.getExtent();
72
73
    // use cache value in the future
74
    image.getExtent = proxy.getExtent;
TextDocument text = new TextDocument();
75
76
    // transparent to others, so can be given to which only accepts Image
77
    text.insert(image);
```

DominoJ provides a way to do proxy by binding methods instead of using a wrapper. Although it needs some tricks to do something like delay loading, the original object can be used directly. Furthermore, the proxy policy can be applied dynamically. In the sample we toggle the getExtent method manually. A solution to avoid toggling binding manually is inheriting from the original object and put the proxy logic in it. However, the solution falls back to use subclassing, and clients have to decide using proxy or not.

Unpluggability	The proxy can be unplugged by removing the binding.
Transparency	Clients are unaware of proxy. What clients create is the same whether applying
$Non\-inheritance$	proxy or not. When the same proxy logic is used in different classes, we can create a proxy for all. We do not have to create a lot of proxies, and worry about which class should be inherited from.

3.2 State Pattern

Intent

"Allow an object to alter its behavior when its internal state changes. The object will appear to change its class."—From the GoF book. With DominoJ all the state changes can be gathered together. This makes state transition clear.

```
1
    // the class which uses states inside % \left( {{{\left( {{L_{{\rm{s}}}} \right)}}} \right)
    public class TCPConnection {
    private TCPState state;
2
3
 4
 5
         public TCPConnection() {
            state = TCPClosed::getInstance();
6
7
8
         }
9
         public void changeState(TCPState s) {
10
             state = s;
         }
11
12
    }
13
    // define state
14
    public class TCPState {
15
         public void transmit(TCPConnection t, TCPOctetStream s) {
16
17
               :
18
         }
19
20
         public void activeOpen(TCPConnection t) {
21
               :
         }
22
23
24
         public void passiveOpen(TCPConnection t) {
25
26
         }
27
28
         public void close(TCPConnection t) {
29
               :
         }
30
31
\frac{32}{33}
         public void synchronize(TCPConnection t) {
                :
34
         }
35
36
         public void acknowledge(TCPConnection t) {
37
         3
38
39
         public void send(TCPConnection t) {
40
41
                :
42
         }
43
         public void changeState(TCPConnection t) {
44
45
            t.changeState(this);
         }
46
47
    }
48
    // here only show the detail in TCPClosed class public class TCPEstablished extends TCPState \{
49
50
51
           :
    }
52
53
54
    public class TCPListen extends TCPState {
55
           :
    }
56
57 \\ 58
    public class TCPClosed extends TCPState {
     public void activeOpen(TCPConnection t) {
59
60
              // change the state at the end
61
62
              TCPEstablished.getInstance().changeState(t);
```

```
63
        }
64
        public void passiveOpen(TCPConnection t) {
65
66
             // change the state at the end
67
68
            TCPListen.getInstance().changeState(t);
69
        3
70
          :
71
    }
```

```
// \ensuremath{\textit{TCPConnection}} and \ensuremath{\textit{TCPState}} are the same as the one in Java
 1
    public class TCPConnection {
    private TCPState state;
2
3
 4
        public TCPConnection() {
 \mathbf{5}
 6
            state = TCPClosed::getInstance();
        }
7
\frac{8}{9}
         public void changeState(TCPState s) {
10
           state = s;
         }
11
    }
12
13
    public class TCPState {
14
         public void transmit(TCPConnection t, TCPOctetStream s) {
15
16
               :
17
18
19
         public void activeOpen(TCPConnection t) {
20
            :
         }
21
22
23
         public void passiveOpen(TCPConnection t) {
24
            :
         }
25
26
         public void close(TCPConnection t) {
27
              :
28
         }
29
30
         public void synchronize(TCPConnection t) {
31
32
              :
         }
33
34
         public void acknowledge(TCPConnection t) {
35
36
               :
37
         }
38
39
         public void send(TCPConnection t) {
              :
40
         }
41
42
43
         public void changeState(TCPConnection t) {
        t.changeState(this);
}
44
45
    }
46
47
48
    public class TCPEstablished extends TCPState {
49
          :
50
    }
51
    public class TCPListen extends TCPState {
52
53
           :
    }
54
55
56
    \ensuremath{\prime\prime}\xspace the only difference is the state transition in TCPClosed
57
    public class TCPClosed extends TCPState {
         public TCPClosed() {
58
           // state transition code
activeOpen += TCPEstablished.getInstance().changeState;
59
60
        passiveOpen += TCPListen.getInstance().changeState;
}
61
62
63
64
         public void activeOpen(TCPConnection t) {
65
             // no need to change the state at the end
66
67
         }
68
69
         public void passiveOpen(TCPConnection t) {
70
             // no need to change the state at the end
71
         }
72
```

 $73 \\ 74$

}

Consequences

The code of state transition is always specified at the end of methods, so it is a typical usage of appending handler. In addition, the state transition can be adjusted dynamically.

Locality All state transition statements are defined in the same place, so the transition is easy to understand.

3.3 Strategy Pattern

Intent

"Define a family of algorithms, encapsulate each one, and make them interchangeable. Strategy lets the algorithm vary independently from clients that use it."—From the GoF book. The GoF book mentions two issues when applying this pattern. The first one is that clients must know how to choose between different strategies. DominoJ can hide the strategies from clients by providing another interface. Clients just give some conditions and do not have to decide which strategy object should be created. The second one is the parameter passing. Every strategy may need different information for its algorithm. The parameter passing is annoying because different strategies may need different information. Using DominoJ the strategy switch is set inside the class.

Sample Code in Java

```
// the class which accepts different strategies
 1
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Composition {
 3
         private Compositor compositor;
 4
 5
         public Composition(Compositor c) {
 6
              compositor = c;
 7
 8
         }
9
10
         public void repair() {
11
                :
12
              compositor.compose( ... );
13
                :
14
         }
15
            :
    }
16
17
     // the strategu
18
19
    public class Compositor {
         public abstract int compose( ... );
20
21
    3
22
23
    public class SimpleCompositor extends Compositor {
24
         public int compose ( ... ) {
25
                :
26
         }
27
28
    }
29
30
    public class TeXCompositor extends Compositor {
31
         public int compose ( ... ) {
32
                :
33
         }
34
            :
35
    }
36
37
    // client usage
38
     // clients must know which strategy is suitable for the situation
    Composition quick = new Composition(new SimpleCompositor());
Composition slick = new Composition(new TeXCompositor());
39
40
```

```
1 // the class which has several strategies
2 public class Composition {
3 // the method which will be called to do a strategy
4 private void compose() {}
```

```
5
 \frac{6}{7}
         // different strategies
         private void compose_simple() {
 8
 9
         }
10
         private void compose_tex() {
11
12
                 :
13
         }
14
         // select a strategy automatically
// clients do not have to understand which one should be initialized
15
16
         public void configure( ... ) {
17
18
19
              // bind to different strategies according to some conditions
20
21
                   compose = compose_simple;
22
              . . .
                   compose = compose_tex;
23
24
         }
25
26
         public void repair() {
27
              // no need to do annoying parameter passing
28
29
              compose();
30
                 :
31
         }
32
            :
    }
33
34
35
     // client usage
     Composition composition = new Composition();
36
    // clients give some conditions instead of creating compositor manually
composition.configure( ... );
37
38
```

If the strategies are also used by other classes, we can separate strategies from Composition by moving them to another class. The configure method is optional. If we hope no switch statement in Composition, we can provide a select method for switching the binding directly or let clients bind the strategy by themselves.

Unpluggability	The pattern can be unplugged by removing the binding. We can leave the default
	algorithm in compose method, so it can fall back to it when unplugging.
Composition	When many steps in a class are exposed, clients do not have to know how to create
	those strategy objects. We can also put a family of strategies into another class,
	but parameter passing becomes necessary.
Transparency	Usually a strategy is set for an algorithm rather than according to the situation,
	so clients have to know the detail. DominoJ hides the detail from clients.
Non-inheritance	The strategy is not dispatched by inheritance, so it can avoid defining a lot of class
	families.

3.4 Visitor Pattern

Intent

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

"Represent an operation to be performed on the elements of an object structure. Visitor lets you define a new operation without changing the classes of the elements on which it operates."—From the GoF book. The purpose of this pattern is a little similar to Composite pattern. Both of them provide a way to do an operation on a bunch of components. The main difference is that Visitor pattern lets you put the operation logic outside of component classes. GoF book uses Composite pattern (Chassis class) in this sample, so we also show how to use with composite classes in DominoJ. In fact, with DominoJ composite classes such as Chassis can be eliminated and all components can be connected directly (please refer to Composite pattern).

```
// we want to define a visitor for this class
public class Equipment {
    public int netPrice() {
        :
        .
    }
}
```

```
6
        public int discountPrice() {
\overline{7}
8
              :
        3
9
10
11
        public abstract void accept(EquipmentVisitor visitor);
12
13
    }
14
    public class Disk extends Equipment {
15
16
       public void accept(EquipmentVisitor visitor) {
17
            visitor.visitDisk(this);
        ł
18
19
    }
20
21
    public class Chassis extends Equipment {
22
       ArrayList < Equipment > parts;
23
24
        public Chassis() {
            parts = new ArrayList<Equipment>();
25
        }
26
27
28
        public void accept(EquipmentVisitor visitor) {
29
           Iterator < Equipment > iterator = parts.iterator();
30
             while(iterator.hasNext()) {
                 iterator.next().accept(visitor);
31
32
            }
33
            visitor.visitChassis(this);
        }
34
35
           :
36
   }
37
    public class EquipmentVisitor {
38
39
        public abstract void visitDisk(Disk d);
40
        public abstract void visitChassis(Chassis c);
41
    }
42
    public class PricingVisitor extends EquipmentVisitor {
43
        private int total;
44
45
46
        public int getTotalPrice() {
47
            return total;
        }
48
49
        public void visitDisk(Disk d) {
50
51
            total += d.netPrice();
        }
52
53
54
        public void visitChassis(Chassis c) {
55
           total += c.discountPrice();
        }
56
   }
57
58
    // client usage
59
60
    PricingVisitor visitor = new PricingVisitor();
61
    // assume equipment can be gotten by the following method
    Equipment[] components = getEquipments();
for(int i=0; i<components.length; i++) {</pre>
62
63
        components[i].accept(visitor);
64
65
    }
66
    int total = visitor.getTotalPrice();
```

```
// first define visitor interface for accept method
// the accept method in this sample is used for composite class
// actually there is no need to define composite class
 1
 2
 3
 4
     public interface IEquipmentVisitor {
 \mathbf{5}
          // provide a slot for visitor
 \mathbf{6}
           public Equipment visit();
     }
 7
 8
     // we want to define a visitor for this class
 9
     public class Equipment {
10
11
         public int netPrice() {
12
                  :
          3
13
14
15
          public int discountPrice() {
16
                  :
17
          7
18
          public Equipment visit() {
19
20
                return this:
```

```
21
         }
22
         // give clients a method to set the binding, you also can do it in client
public void accept(IEquipmentVisitor visitor) {
23
24
25
              visitor.visit += visit;
26
              visitor.visit += visitor.visit;
27
         3
28
            :
29
    }
30
31
     public class Disk extends Equipment {
32
33
    }
34
     // with DominoJ you can avoid defining such composite class // please refer to Composite pattern section
35
36
     public class Chassis extends Equipment {
37
38
         ArrayList < Equipment > parts;
39
         public Chassis() {
40
              parts = new ArrayList<Equipment>();
41
         ŀ
42
43
44
         public void accept(IEquipmentVisitor visitor) {
45
              Iterator < Equipment > iterator = parts.iterator();
              while(iterator.hasNext()) {
46
                   visitor.visit += iterator.next().visit;
47
                   visitor.visit += visitor.visit;
48
49
50
              visitor.visit += visit;
51
              visitor.visit += visitor.visit;
         }
52
    }
53
54
55
     // the purpose of the visitor is calculating total price
56
     public class PricingVisitor implements IEquipmentVisitor {
57
         private int total;
58
         public int getTotalPrice() {
59
60
              return total;
         }
61
62
63
         public Equipment visit() {
              if($ret != null) {
    if($ret instanceof Disk)
64
                                                   total += $ret.netPrice();
65
                   else if($ret instanceof Chassis)
66
                                                           total += $ret.discountPrice();
67
68
              return null:
69
         }
\frac{70}{71}
    }
     // client usage
72
73^{-1}
     PricingVisitor visitor = new PricingVisitor();
     // assume equipment can be gotten by the following method
Equipment[] components = getEquipments();
74
75
76
     // set the binding
77
78
     for(int i=0; i<components.length; i++) {
         // if we do not use composite class, we can set the binding here, for example:
// visitor.visit += components[i].visit;
79
         // visitor.visit += visitor.visit;
80
81
          components[i].accept(visitor);
82
    }
     // start visiting
83
     visitor.visit():
84
85
     // get result
86
     int total = visitor.getTotalPrice();
```

Using DominoJ can avoid the similar method calling in every subclass such as visitDisk method and visitChassis method. The visit method can be defined in parent class and simply return itself. All handling for different subclasses are moved to visitor class. When adding a new subclass, only the visitor should be modified. Furthermore, if we remove Chassis in this sample, accept method and IEquipmentVisitor are also unnecessary. Clients can set the binding by themselves.

Locality	Unlike accept method, visit method is defined in parent class. We do not have to
	define accept method in subclasses. On the other hand, putting the handling for
	subclasses in one method of visitor class makes it possible to share the same logic for
	different subclasses. For example, maybe the handling for Disk and Chassis are the
	same, and we do not have to maintain the same code in visitDisk and visitChassis.
	Besides, it can provide default behavior for the subclass that may be declared in
	the future.
Unpluggability	The visitor pattern can be disabled simply by removing the visit method in the
	parent class.
Composition	When there are different class families and the behaviors of their visitors are the
	same, the visitors can be shared by setting the return type of visit method to Object.

4 An alternative to inheritance

4.1 Abstract Factory Pattern

Intent

"Provide an interface for creating families of related or dependent objects without specifying their concrete classes."—From the GoF book. In some cases the objects in different product families have the same feature, for example, MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow in the following sample. We cannot extract the feature to their parent class because they have inherited from different products and multiple inheritance is prohibited in Java. The following sample shows how to use DominoJ to resolve the problem.

```
// define the factory for creating products
public abstract class WidgetFactory {
 1
 \frac{2}{3}
          public abstract ScrollBar createScrollBar();
          public abstract Window createWindow();
 4
 \mathbf{5}
 \frac{6}{7}
     }
 8
     // a concrete factory
     public class MotifWidgetFactory extends WidgetFactory {
    public ScrollBar createScrollBar() {
 9
10
              return new MotifScrollBar();
11
12
          }
13
14
          public Window createWindow() {
15
               return new MotifWindow();
          }
16
    }
17
18
     // define the first product family
19
20
     public abstract class ScrollBar {
21 \\ 22
            :
    }
23
24
     public class MotifScrollBar extends ScrollBar {
25
          // the common feature which can be used in MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow
26
          public void transparent() {
27
                :
28
          }
29
             :
    }
30
31
32
     // define the second product family
33
     public abstract class Window {
34
            :
    }
35
36
     public class MotifWindow extends Window {
37
38
         // the common feature which can be used in MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow
39
          public void transparent() {
40
                :
          }
41
42
            :
    }
43
44
     // client usage
45
     // create a concrete factory
MotifWidgetFactory factory = new MotifWidgetFactory();
// create products without specifying their concrete classes
46
47
48
```

```
49 Window w = factory.createWindow();
50 ScrollBar s = factory.createScrollBar();
```

```
// MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow also need to inherit from Motif for some features
// but Motif, ScrollBar, and Window cannot be interfaces
// because they all have implementation
 1
 2
 3
 4
     // the factory is the same as the one in Java
 5
     public abstract class WidgetFactory {
          public abstract ScrollBar createScrollBar();
public abstract Window createWindow();
 6
 7
 8
            :
 9
    }
10
     // a concrete factory
11
12
     public class MotifWidgetFactory extends WidgetFactory {
13
         public ScrollBar createScrollBar() {
               return new MotifScrollBar():
14
          }
15
16
         public Window createWindow() {
               return new MotifWindow();
17
          3
18
    }
19
20
     // you can define an interface for those methods and bind implementation to them % \left( {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{c}} \right]}} \right]}_{{{\left[ {{{c}} \right]}}}}}_{{{\left[ {{{c}} \right]}}}}} \right)
21
22
     public interface IMotif {
23
        public void transparent();
24
    3
25
     // then put the implementation in Motif class
26
    public class Motif implements IMotif {
    // let all Motif widgets share the same object
    private static motif = null;
27
28
29
30
31
          public static Motif getInstance() {
               if(motif == null)
32
                                       motif = new Motif();
33
               return motif;
34
          }
35
36
          // the common feature which can be used in MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow
37
          public void transparent() {
38
                :
          7
39
40
            •
41
    }
42
43
     // replace the implementation with the one in Motif
     public class MotifScrollBar extends ScrollBar implements IMotif {
    public MotifScrollBar() {
44
45
               transparent = Motif.getInstance().transparent;
46
47
48
          }
49
          // empty method for binding
50
51
          public void transparent() {}
52
            :
53
    }
54
    // maybe there are additional operations for MotifWindow
55
56
     // we use += or ^= instead of =
     public class MotifWindow extends Window implements IMotif {
57
          public MotifWindow() {
// call transparent method in Motif after transparent method is called
58
59
               transparent += Motif.getInstance().transparent;
60
61
62
          3
63
          // put additional operations in this method
64
          public void transparent() {
65
66
                :
67
          }
68
            :
    }
69
70
71
    // client usage is the same as the one in Java
     // create a concrete factory
72
     MotifWidgetFactory factory = new MotifWidgetFactory();
73
    Window w = factory.createWindow();
ScrollBar s = factory.createScrollBar();
74
75
```

DominoJ helps to extract the common implementation among objects in different product families such as transparent method in this sample.

Locality	In this example, common features in MotifScrollBar and MotifWindow can be ex-
	tracted into another class, Motif.
Non-inheritance	Unlike interface, using this approach can gather similar implementation together,
	and allow the objects to inherit from other classes.

4.2**Bridge Pattern**

Intent

1

11

38

51

"Decouple an abstraction from its implementation so that the two can vary independently."—From the GoF book. The following sample shows how to use the implementor in the abstraction and its subclasses. The detail of implementor (WindowImpl) is defined in concrete implementors (XWindowImpl and PMWindowImpl), and use inheritance to delegate. If we also want to let XWindowImpl inherit from XImpl for some reasons, we have to choose between WindowImpl and Ximpl. With DominoJ XWindowImpl and PMWindowImpl can be delegated without using inheritance.

```
// the abstraction class, which only needs to know WindowImpl
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Window {
3
        private WindowImpl impl = null;
 4
 5
        public WindowImpl getWindowImpl() {
 6
             if(impl == null) {
 7
                  // return a XWindowImpl or PMWindowImpl object
 8
                  impl = WindowSystemFactory.getInstance().makeWindowImpl();
9
             }
10
        }
           :
    }
12
13
14
    // the class delegate its method to subclasses
15
    public abstract class WindowImpl {
16
         public abstract void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b);
17
18
    3
19
20
    public class XWindowImpl extends WindowImpl {
21
22
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b) {
23
               :
24
        }
25
    }
26
27
    public class PMWindowImpl extends WindowImpl {
28
29
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int l, int t, int r, int b) {
30
               :
31
        }
32
    }
33
    public class WindowSystemFactory {
    private WindowSystemFactory factory = null;
34
35
36
37
        public static getInstance() {
             if(factory == null) {
39
                factory = new WindowSystemFactory();
40
             3
41
             return factory;
        }
42
43
44
        public WindowImpl makeWindowImpl() {
45
             WindowImpl impl;
46
             // create an object of subclass of WindowImpl according to some conditions
47
                 impl = new XWindowImpl():
48
49
               :
50
                 impl = new PMWindowImpl();
             return impl;
52
        }
53
    }
54
    // usage
55
```

```
56 public class IconWindow extends Window {
57   private String filename;
58   :
59   public void drawContents() {
60      WindowImpl impl = getWindowImpl();
61      if(impl != null) impl.deviceBitmap(filename, 0, 0, 0, 0);
62   }
63 }
```

```
// the abstraction class is the same as the one in Java
1
    public class Window {
    private WindowImpl impl = null;
 2
 3
 4
         public WindowImpl getWindowImpl() {
    if(impl == null) {
 \mathbf{5}
 \mathbf{6}
                   // return a XWindowImpl or PMWindowImpl object
 7
 8
                  impl = WindowSystemFactory.getInstance().makeWindowImpl();
 9
             }
         }
10
11
           .
12
    }
13
    // maybe XWindowImpl has inherited from XImpl
14
    // and PMWindowImpl has inherited from PMImpl
// so we cannot create WindowImpl class as their parent
15
16
    // define IWindowImpl for consistency
17
    public interface IWindowImpl {
18
19
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b);
20
    3
21
    // WindowImpl is used directly, so it cannot be abstract
public class WindowImpl implements IWindowImpl {
22
23
24
25
         // empty method for binding
26
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b) {}
27
    }
28
29
    public class XWindowImpl extends XImpl implements IWindowImpl {
30
31
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b) {
32
               :
         3
33
34
    }
35
    public class PMWindowImpl extends PMImpl implements IWindowImpl {
36
37
38
         public void deviceBitmap(String filename, int 1, int t, int r, int b) {
39
            :
         ŀ
40
    }
41
42
    // WindowSystemFactory always creates a WindowImpl object
43
    // but replace its implementation
44
    public class WindowSystemFactory {
    private WindowSystemFactory factory = null;
45
46
         private IWindowImpl real;
47
48
49
         public static getInstance() {
             if(factory == null) {
50
                factory = new WindowSystemFactory();
51
52
             3
53
             return factory;
         }
54
55
56
         public WindowImpl makeWindowImpl() {
              // the object will be used
57
              WindowImpl impl = new WindowImpl();
// create an object of subclass of WindowImpl according to some conditions
58
59
60
              . . .
                 real = new XWindowImpl();
61
62
              . . .
                  real = new PMWindowImpl();
63
              // and bind its implementation to the WindowImpl object
64
65
              impl.deviceBitmap = real.deviceBitmap;
66
             return impl;
         }
67
   }
68
69
     // the usage is the same as the one in Java
70
    public class IconWindow extends Window {
71
72
         private String filename;
73
           •
```

```
74    public void drawContents() {
75         WindowImpl impl = getWindowImpl();
76         if(impl != null) impl.deviceBitmap(filename, 0, 0, 0, 0);
77    }
78 }
```

This sample shows a typical usage of DominoJ. The methods are delegated by binding instead of inheritance.

Locality	XWindowImpl and PMWindowImpl can inherit from XImpl and PMImpl respectively
	to avoid implementing the same logic again.
Non-inheritance	The approach allows the implementor is delegated by non-inheritance way, and
	lets concrete implementors inherit from other classes for getting some common
	implementations.

4.3 Builder Pattern

Intent

"Separate the construction of a complex object from its representation so that the same construction process can create different representations."—From the GoF book. Sometimes the concrete builders need to inherit from other classes for its implementation, but we still want to put some common code in the builder class. Using DominoJ the delegation of builder class can be done by binding.

```
// the output
 1
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Maze {
 3
            :
    }

    \begin{array}{c}
      4 \\
      5 \\
      6 \\
      7
    \end{array}

     // the director class
    public class MazeGame {
 8
          // this method calls builder to construct
 9
10
         public Maze createMaze(MazeBuilder builder) {
    builder.buildMaze();
11
              builder.buildRoom(1);
12
              builder.buildRoom(2);
13
14
              builder.buildDoor(1, 2);
15
              return builder.getMaze();
         7
16
17
18
         // construct another Maze
19
         public Maze createComplexMaze(MazeBuilder builder) {
20
              builder.builderRoom(1);
21
22
              builder.buildRoom(1001);
23
              return builder.getMaze();
24
         7
25
    }
26
27
     // builder class
28
    public abstract class MazeBuilder {
29
         public void buildMaze() {}
30
         public void buildRoom(int n) {}
         public void buildDoor(int from, int to) {}
public Maze getMaze() {
31
32
33
              return 0;
34
         ł
    }
35
36
37
     // define a concrete builder
38
    public class StandardMazeBuilder extends MazeBuilder {
39
         private Maze maze = null;
40
41
         public void buildMaze() {
42
              maze = new Maze();
         }
43
44
         public void buildRoom(int n) {
45
46
               :
         }
47
48
49
         public void buildDoor(int from. int to) {
```

```
50
            :
        }
51
52
53
        public Maze getMaze() {
54
          return maze;
        }
55
   }
56
57
58
    // client usage
    MazeGame game = new MazeGame();
59
60
    StandardMazeBuilder builder = new StandardMazeBuilder();
    game.createMaze(builder);
61
62
    Maze maze = builder.getMaze();
```

```
1
    // Maze class is the same as the one in Java
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Maze {
3
          :
    3
4
5
6
    // MazeGame class is the same as the one in Java
 7
    public class MazeGame {
 8
 9
         // this method calls builder to construct
10
        public Maze createMaze(MazeBuilder builder) {
             builder.buildMaze();
11
             builder.buildRoom(1):
12
             builder.buildRoom(2);
13
14
             builder.buildDoor(1, 2);
            return builder.getMaze();
15
16
        }
17
        // construct another Maze
18
19
        public Maze createComplexMaze(MazeBuilder builder) {
             builder.builderRoom(1);
20
21
22
             builder.buildRoom(1001);
23
             return builder.getMaze();
        }
24
25
   }
26
27
    // MazeBuilder will be used directly, so it cannot be abstract
28
    public class MazeBuilder {
29
        public void buildMaze() {}
        public void buildRoom(int n) {}
30
        public void buildDoor(int from, int to) {}
public Maze getMaze() {
31
32
33
             return 0;
34
        }
35
   }
36
    // assume that StandardMazeBuilder has to inherit from another class Standard
37
    public class StandardMazeBuilder extends Standard {
38
       private Maze maze = null;
39
40
        private MazeBuilder builder;
41
42
        public StandardMazeBuilder() {
            builder = new MazeBuilder();
// redirect the calling
43
44
             builder.buildMaze = buildMaze;
45
             builder.buildRoom = buildRoom;
46
            builder.buildDoor = buildDoor;
builder.getMaze = getMaze;
47
48
        }
49
50
        public MazeBuilder getBuilder() {
51
            return builder;
52
        ł
53
54
        public void buildMaze() {
55
           maze = new Maze();
56
        }
57
58
59
        public void buildRoom(int n) {
60
            :
        3
61
62
63
        public void buildDoor(int from, int to) {
64
              :
65
        7
66
        public Maze getMaze() {
67
68
            return maze;
```

```
69
        }
70
    }
71
    // client usage
72
    MazeGame game = new MazeGame();
73
74
    StandardMazeBuilder standard = new StandardMazeBuilder();
    MazeBuilder builder = standard.getBuilder();
75
76
    game.createMaze(builder);
    Maze maze = builder.getMaze();
77
```

Although in most cases the builder looks like an interface, sometimes we need to keep some fields in it. However, the concrete builders also want to take advantage of other classes for their construction process. Using DominoJ the issue can be resolved.

LocalityStandardMazeBuilder can get the feature from Standard by inheritance rather than
copying the code.Non-inheritanceThis sample shows how to use the binding in DominoJ instead of inheritance.
Concrete builders can inherit from other classes for reusing the code in their con-
struction process. It avoids maintaining similar code in different places.

4.4 Factory Method Pattern

Intent

"Define an interface for creating an object, but let subclasses decide which class to instantiate. Factory Method lets a class defer instantiation to subclasses."—From the GoF book. In this pattern DominoJ can replace product instantiation methods easily, so we do not need to subclass the creator for replacing those methods.

```
// the creator class, which has factory methods for instantiate products
 1
^{2}_{3}
    public class MazeGame {
        public Maze makeMaze() {
 4
             return new Maze();
 5
         }
 \frac{1}{6}
         public Room makeRoom(int n) {
 8
             return new Room(n);
        3
9
10
11
        public Wall makeWall() {
12
             return new Wall();
13
         }
14
15
         public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2) {
\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 17 \end{array}
             return new Door(r1, r2);
         7
18
19
         // call factory methods to instantiate products
20
         public Maze createMaze() {
\frac{21}{22}
             Maze m = makeMaze();
             Room r1 = new MakeRoom(1);
23
             Room r2 = new MakeRoom(2);
24
             Door d = makeDoor(r1, r2);
25
             m.addRoom(r1);
26
             m.addRoom(r2);
27
28
             return m;
29
        }
30
    }
31
32
    // a concrete creator class
33
    public class BombedMazeGame extends MazeGame {
34
         // some product instantiation method are replaced
         public Wall makeWall() {
35
36
             return new BombedWall():
         }
37
38
39
         public Room makeRoom(int n) {
40
             return new RoomWithABomb(n);
        }
41
42
         // common "Bombed" feature
43
```

```
44
         public void bomb() {
45
                :
          }
46
47
            :
48
    }
49
50
     // another concrete creator class
51
     public class EnchantedMazeGame extends MazeGame {
         // some product instantiation method are replaced
52
         public Room makeRoom (int n) {
53
54
              return new EnchantedRoom(n, castSpell());
         }
55
56
         public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2) {
    return new DoorNeedingSpell(r1, r2);
57
58
         }
59
60
61
         public Spell castSpell() {
       ;
}
62
63
64
         // common "Enchanted" feature
public void enchant() {
65
66
67
               :
         }
68
69
            :
70
    }
71
    // client usage
// create different subclasses for different products

72
73
     BombedMazeGame bmg = new BombedMazeGame();
Maze m1 = bmg.createMaze();
74
75
     EnchantedMazeGame eng = new EnchantedMazeGame();
Maze m2 = emg.createMaze();
76
77
```

```
1
    // MazeGame class is the same as the one in Java
2
    public class MazeGame {
         public Maze makeMaze() {
    return new Maze();
3
 4
 5
         }
 6
 7
        public Room makeRoom(int n) {
        return new Room(n);
\frac{8}{9}
10
         public Wall makeWall() {
11
12
             return new Wall();
13
         }
14
15
         public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2) {
             return new Door(r1, r2);
16
       }
17
18
19
         // call several methods to instantiate products
20
         public Maze createMaze() {
21
             Maze m = makeMaze();
              Room r1 = new MakeRoom(1);
Room r2 = new MakeRoom(2);
22
23
              Door d = makeDoor(r1, r2);
24
25
              m.addRoom(r1);
26
              m.addRoom(r2);
27
                :
28
              return m:
29
         }
    }
30
31
32
    public class Bombed {
        // common "Bombed {
public void bomb() {
33
34
35
              :
         }
36
37
    }
38
39
    // if BombedMazeGame has to inherit from another class Bombed
40
    public class BombedMazeGame extends Bombed {
         MazeGame mg;
41
42
         public BombedMazeGame() {
43
            mg = new MazeGame();
44
             mg.makeWall = makeWall;
mg.makeRoom = makeRoom;
createMaze = mg.createMaze;
45
46
47
```

```
48
          }
 49
50
          public Maze createMaze() {
 51
               return null:
 52
          ł
 53
          public Wall makeWall() {
54
               return new BombedWall();
55
          }
56
57
 58
          public Room makeRoom(int n) {
59
               return new RoomWithABomb(n);
          }
60
61
            ٠
62
     }
63
     public class Enchanted {
 64
          ,, common "Enchanted" feature
public void enchant() {
    .
65
66
67
                 :
68
          3
     }
69
 70
71
      // if EnchantedMazeGame has to inherit from another class Enchanted
72
73
74
     public class EnchantedMazeGame extends Enchanted {
          MazeGame mg;
 75
          public EnchantedMazeGame() {
 76
              mg = new MazeGame();
              mg.makeRoom = makeRoom;
mg.makeDoor = makeDoor;
createMaze = mg.createMaze;
 77
78
79
80
          }
81
 82
          public Maze createMaze() {
83
               return null;
          7
84
85
          public Room makeRoom (int n) {
86
87
               return new EnchantedRoom(n, castSpell());
          }
88
 89
90
          public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2) {
91
               return new DoorNeedingSpell(r1, r2);
          3
92
93
 94
          public Spell castSpell() {
95
                 :
96
          }
97
            :
     }
98
99
100
      // client usage is the same as the one in Java
101
      BombedMazeGame bmg = new BombedMazeGame();
102
     Maze m1 = bmg.createMaze();
103
     EnchantedMazeGame emg = new EnchantedMazeGame();
104
     Maze m2 = emg.createMaze();
```

In this sample we replace inheritance with the binding for delegating factory methods.

LocalityIn order to avoid implementing the same logic twice, BombedMazeGame and EnchantedMazeGame can inherit from Bombed and Enchanted, respectively.Non-inheritanceThe factory methods in creator are delegated by binding, so concrete creators can inherit from other classes.

4.5 Template Method Pattern

Intent

"Define the skeleton of an algorithm in an operation, deferring some steps to subclasses. Template Method lets subclasses redefine certain steps of an algorithm without changing the algorithm's structure."—From the GoF book. This pattern divides an operation in one class into some steps and let subclasses have the ability to redefine the implementation in the steps. On the other hand, we cannot let the subclasses take advantage of other classes by multiple inheritance. With DominoJ the "subclasses" role can inherit from another class, and the original "parent class" role still can defer the steps by binding.

Sample Code in Java

```
// clients always call display method of View
 1
 \mathbf{2}
    public class View {
 3
        public void display() {
             // this operation are divided into 3 steps
// only doDisplay method can be replaced by subclasses
 4
 \mathbf{5}
 \mathbf{6}
              setFocus():
 7
              doDisplay();
 8
              resetFocus();
 9
         }
10
11
         private void setFocus() {
12
               :
         }
13
14
         // doDisplay do nothing in this class. subclasses can override it
15
16
         protected void doDisplay() {}
17
18
         private void resetFocus() {
19
                :
         }
20
21
            :
22
    }
23
24
    // subclasses override doDisplay method to add specific drawing behavior public class FancyView extends View {
25
         protected void doDisplay() {
26
27
             // add specific drawing behavior here
28
               :
29
         7
30
          // common "Fancy" feature
31
         public void getInfo() {
32
         ∙ ۲۰۲
:
}
33
34
35
    }
36
    // client usage
FancyView view = new FancyView();
37
38
39
    view.display();
```

```
// clients always call display method of View
 1
    public class View {
 \mathbf{2}
        public void display() {
    // this operation are divided into 3 steps
 3
 4
              // only doDisplay method can be replaced by binding
 \mathbf{5}
 6
              setFocus();
 \frac{7}{8}
              doDisplay();
              resetFocus();
 9
         }
10
11
         private void setFocus() {
12
                :
         7
13
14
         // doDisplay must be public
public void doDisplay() {}
15
16
17
18
         private void resetFocus() {
              :
19
         }
20
21
           :
22
    }
23
    public class Fancy {
    // common "Fancy" feature
24
25
         public void getInfo() {
26
27
               :
         }
^{28}
29
    }
30
31
    // if FancyView has to inherit from another class Fancy
    public class FancyView extends Fancy {
32
33
         View view:
34
35
         public FancyView() {
36
              view = new View();
37
              view.doDisplay = doDisplay;
         }
38
39
```

```
40
        public View getView() {
41
             return view;
42
43
44
        public void doDisplay() {
45
            // add specific drawing behavior here
46
               •
47
        }
48
    }
49
    // client usage
50
51
    FancyView my = new FancyView();
52
    View view = my.getView();
    view.display();
53
```

In the sample FancyView can use the logic in Fancy by inheritance rather than copy it to FancyView. And the redefinition of doDisplay method still can be used by View.

LocalityFancyView can inherit from Fancy to avoid duplicating code.Non-inheritanceDominoJ provides an alternative to inheritance for this pattern. This pattern can
be applied even if FancyView does not inherit from View. We can decide whether
using inheritance or binding depending on the circumstances.

5 Parameters passing

5.1 Adapter Pattern

Intent

"Convert the interface of a class into another interface clients expect. Adapter lets classes work together that could not otherwise because of incompatible interfaces."—From the GoF book. The binding can pass parameters between methods, but the signatures of methods must be the same except their names. The simplest case is that the methods in adapter and adaptee are almost the same except their names, but it is a rare case. In the following example taken from [1], the binding can be used in the adapter for bypassing to its component and setting execution order.

```
// if you would like to rewrite an awt program using JFC
// JFC JList is adaptee, and awt List is adapter
 1
 \mathbf{2}
            JList is adaptee, and awt List is adapter
 3
     // define an interface according to awt List
 4
    public interface awtList {
 5
         public void add(String s);
6
7
8
         public void remove(String s);
         public String[] getSelectedItems();
    }
9
10
    // object adapter
    // wrap JFC JList and let it looks like awt List
11
     // client can use it without changing original methods in the program
12
13
    public class JawtList extends JScrollPane implements awtList {
14
         private JList window;
         // use JListData as model. its definition is shown below
15
16
         private JListData contents;
17
18
         public JawtList(int rows) {
19
              contents = new JListData();
20
              window = new JList(contents);
21
22
         }
23
24
         public void add(String s) {
25
              contents.addElement(s);
         }
\frac{26}{27}
         public void remove(String s) {
28
              contents.removeElement(s);
29
         }
30
31
32
         public String[] getSelectedItems() {
              Object[] obj = window.getSelectedValues();
String[] s = new String[obj.length];
33
34
```

```
35
            for(int i=0; i<obj.length; i++) {</pre>
36
                 s[i] = obj[i].toString();
            }
37
38
            return s;
39
        }
40
   }
41
    // define JListData, the model used in JList
42
43
    public class JListData extends AbstractListModel {
        private Vector data;
44
45
46
        public JListData() {
            data = new Vector();
47
        }
48
49
50
        public void addElement(String s) {
51
            data.addElement(s);
52
            fireIntervalAdded(this, data.size()-1, data.size());
53
        }
54
55
        public void removeElement(String s) {
56
            data.removeElement(s);
57
            fireIntervalRemoved(this, 0, data.size());
58
        3
59
    }
```

```
// the interface is the same as the one in Java
 1
 \mathbf{2}
     public interface awtList {
 3
          public void add(String s);
 4
          public void remove(String s);
          public String[] getSelectedItems();
 5
     }
 6
 7
 8
     // can be used to bypass implementation or pass parameters
     // when the signature of methods are the same
public class JawtList extends JScrollPane implements awtList {
 9
10
11
          private JList window;
          private JListData contents;
12
13
          public JawtList(int rows) {
14
               contents = new JListData();
15
16
               window = new JList(contents);
               // bypass to contents
// maybe some methods can be bypassed directly
17
18
               add = contents.addElement;
19
20
               remove = contents.removeElement;
21
22
          }
23
          // empty methods for binding
public void add(String s) {}
24
25
26
          public void remove(String s) {}
27
28
          public String[] getSelectedItems() {
               Object[] obj = window.getSelectedValues();
String[] s = new String[obj.length];
29
30
               for(int i=0; i<obj.length; i++) {
    s[i] = obj[i].toString();</pre>
31
32
               }
33
               return s;
34
35
          3
    }
36
37
     // define JListData
38
39
     public class JListData extends AbstractListModel {
40
          private Vector data;
41
42
          public JListData() {
               data = new Vector();
43
               data = new Vector();
// you may put data related code here
// and set the execution order
addElement ^= data.addElement;
removeElement ^= data.removeElement;
44
45
46
47
48
          3
49
          public void addElement(String s) {
50
51
               fireIntervalAdded(this, data.size()-1, data.size());
          ŀ
52
53
54
          public void removeElement(String s) {
55
               fireIntervalRemoved(this, 0, data.size());
          }
56
```

The method bypassing is quite intuitive and makes code clear. The execution order setting is useful when there are more complicated execution dependencies. By setting the execution order we can avoid calling them one by one in methods explicitly.

The code of method by passing and execution order setting can be gathered together. Locality This makes the code easy to understand.

5.2**Facade Pattern**

Intent

"Provide a unified interface to a set of interfaces in a subsystem. Facade defines a higher-level interface that makes the subsystem easier to use."-From the GoF book. For this pattern, the binding only can be applied when the interface of subsystems are designed for it. Because the signature of methods in a binding must be the same, it needs some trick to define the interface of subsystems. If the interfaces can be bound by DominoJ, the interaction among subsystems is more clear and easy to change.

Sample Code in Java

```
1
    // there are 3 subsystems: authentication, authorization, and database % \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{d}}
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Authentication {
 3
        public Token authenticate(String id, String pw) {
 4
 5
        }
6
7
8
    }
9
    public class Authorization {
10
        public Ticket authorize(Token t) {
11
12
        }
13
           :
    }
14
15
16
    public class Database {
17
        public FileList getFileList(Ticket t) {
18
19
        }
\frac{20}{21}
           :
    }
22
23
    // the facade provides a simple method to get file list by id and password
24
    // without sending request to several subsystems in order
25
    public class Facade {
26
         public FileList getFilesByIdPw(String id, String pw) {
27
             // get a token from authentication subsystem
28
             Authentication authen = Authentication.getInstance();
29
             Token token = authen.authenticate(id, pw);
30
             if(token == null)
                                   return null;
31
             // give authorization subsystem the token to get a ticket
32
             Authorization author = Authorization.getInstance();
33
             Ticket ticket = author.authorize(token):
34
             if(ticket == null)
                                   return null;
35
             // give database subsystem the ticket for querying file list
             Database database = Database.getInstance();
36
37
             return database.getFileList(ticket);
        }
38
39
    }
```

Sample Code in DominoJ

40

```
// the three subsystems
1
\mathbf{2}
    public class Authentication {
3
          public Token authenticate(String id, String pw) {
4
                    :
5
           }
6
7
           // add an adapter method % \left( {{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{c}}}} \right)}} \right.}
8
           public Object authenticate(Object[] obj) {
```

```
9
              if(obj.length < 2 || ! (obj[0] instanceof String) || ! (obj[1] instanceof String))
                                                                                                                       return null:
10
                          authenticate((String)obj[0], (String)obj[1]);
              return
         }
11
12
13
    }
14
    public class Authorization {
15
         public Ticket authorize(Token t) {
16
17
                 :
18
         3
19
20
          // add an adapter method
21
         public Object authorize(Object[] obj) {
22
              if(! ($ret instanceof Token))
return authorize((Token)$ret);
                                                      return null;
23
24
         }
25
            :
26
    }
27
28
    public class Database {
         public FileList getFileList(Ticket t) {
29
30
                 :
31
         }
32
33
          // add an adapter method % \left( {{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{c}}}} \right)}} \right.}
         public Object getFileList(Object[] obj) {
    if(! ($ret instanceof Ticket)) ret;
34
35
                                                        return null;
                          getFileList((Ticket)$ret);
36
              return
37
         }
38
            :
39
    }
40
    // the facade class
public class Facade
41
42
43
         public Facade()
                             {
44
              Authentication authen = Authentication.getInstance();
45
              Authorization author = Authorization.getInstance();
              Database database = Database.getInstance();
// authenticate always should be done before authorize
46
47
48
              author.authorize ^= authen.authenticate;
               // authorize always should be done before getFileList
49
              database.getFileList ^= author.authorize;
50
51
              // define more execution order
52
53
         }
54
55
         public FileList getFilesByIdPw(String id, String pw) {
56
              // just focus on main logic
57
              Database database = Database.getInstance();
              Object obj = database.getFileList(new Object[]{id, pw})
58
59
              if(obj instanceof FileList)
                                                  return (FileList)obj;
60
              else
                        return null;
         }
61
62
    }
```

With the defined execution order, we can avoid putting similar logic into every method. In this sample most methods in Facade need authentication and authorization. We move the calling from getFilesByldPw to the constructor, so we do not have to write the calling for other methods again. The return value of getFilesByldPw also can be sent to other methods by binding.

Locality

Similar method calling in methods of the facade class can be collected. The execution order can be expressed more meaningfully. When the basic behavior is changed, for example the parameters of **authorize** method is changed or adding one operation between **authenticate** and **authorize**, we do not need to check the calling in every method.

5.3 Mediator Pattern

Intent

"Define an object that encapsulates how a set of objects interact. Mediator promotes loose coupling by keeping objects from referring to each other explicitly, and it lets you vary their interaction independently."—From the GoF book. In this pattern the binding can be applied only when the interface of objects are designed for it. In order to bind between methods, the signature of methods must be the same except their names.

Sample Code in Java

```
1
    // the base class of colleague classes. it knows its mediator
 \mathbf{2}
    public class Widget {
       // keep its mediator
3
        private DialogDirector director;
 4
 5
        public Widget(DialogDirector d) {
 6
 \overline{7}
            director = d;
        3
 8
 9
10
        public void changed() {
            director.widgetChanged(this);
11
        }
12
13
           :
    }
14
15
16
    // colleague class
17
    public class Button extends Widget {
18
           .
19
    }
20
21
    \ensuremath{\prime\prime}\xspace class. a widget to let user picks up a string for the list
    public class ListBox extends Widget {
    // keep current selected string
    private String current;
22
23
24
25
26
        public String getSelection() {
27
            return current;
28
        3
29
        // this method will be called when user clicks on an item in the list
30
        public void setSelection(String s) {
31
             current = s;
32
33
             changed();
34
        }
35
           :
    }
36
37
38
    // colleague class. a widget which shows a string
    public class EntryField extends Widget {
39
        // the string shown in the field
private String text;
40
41
42
43
        public void setText(String s) {
44
             text = s:
             changed();
45
46
        }
47
           :
48
   }
49
    // the mediator
50
    public class DialogDirector {
51
        public abstract void widgetChanged(Widget w);
52
53
         protected abstract void createWidgets();
54
55
    3
56
57
    // a concrete mediator for coordinating colleagues in FontDialog
    public class FontDialogDirector extends DialogDirector {
58
        private Button ok;
59
60
        private Button cancel;
        private ListBox fontList;
61
        private EntryField fontName;
62
63
64
        public void widgetChanged(Widget w) {
65
            if(w == fontList) {
                  // pass the selected string from ListBox to EntryField
66
             fontName.setText(fontList.getSelection());
} else if(w == fontName) {
67
68
69
                   :
70
             } ...
71
        }
72
73
         protected void createWidgets() {
\frac{74}{75}
             ok = new Button(this):
             cancel = new Button(this);
             fontList = new ListBox(this);
76
             fontName = new EntryField(this);
77
78
        }
79
    }
```

```
// the base class of colleague class. no need to keep its mediator public class Widget {
 1
 \overline{2}
 3
         // no need to notify director manually
 4
 5
    }
 \mathbf{6}
 7
    // colleague class
    public class Button extends Widget {
8
9
           :
10
    }
11
    // colleague class. a widget to let user picks up a string for the list public class ListBox extends Widget {
12
13
         // keep current selected string
private String current;
14
15
16
17
         public String getSelection() {
18
             return current;
         3
19
20
21
         // this method will be called when user clicks on an item in the list
22
         public void setSelection(String s) {
             current = s;
23
24
              // no need to call changed()
25
         }
26
           :
27
    }
28
29
    // colleague class. a widget which shows a string
30
    public class EntryField extends Widget {
31
         // the string shown in the field
32
         private String text;
33
34
         public void setText(String s) {
35
             text = s;
36
              // no need to call changed()
37
         }
38
           •
39
    }
40
41
    // the mediator
42
    public class DialogDirector {
43
         protected abstract void createWidgets();
44
           :
    }
45
46
    // concrete mediator which coordinates colleague objects
47
48
    public class FontDialogDirector extends DialogDirector {
49
         private Button ok;
50
         private Button cancel;
         private ListBox fontList;
51
         private EntryField fontName;
52
53
         protected void createWidgets() {
54
55
             ok = new Button(this);
56
              cancel = new Button(this);
             fontList = new ListBox(this);
fontName = new EntryField(this);
57
58
59
              // define the behavior here
              // no need to call changed() in every widget,
60
61
              // and the director doesn't have to switch the action in widgetChanged()
62
             fontList.setSelection += fontName.setText;
63
         }
64
    }
```

In this sample we bind setText method in EntryField to setSelection method in ListBox because the parameter passing is simple. When user clicks on a string, the string will be sent to EntryField automatically. The binding avoid calling getSelection method and switching actions in widgetChanged method of the mediator class.

LocalityThe behavior is defined in the mediator, not depends on the calling in colleague
classes. We can manage the behaviors in one place.UnpluggabilityWe can just remove the mediator class to unplug this pattern. Colleague classes
are unaware of the mediator because they do not contain the pattern code.

6 No benefit to structure

Method slots provide no benefit to Command pattern, Flyweight pattern, Interpreter pattern, Iterator pattern, Memento pattern, Prototype pattern, and Singleton pattern. The implementation in Java and in DominoJ are the same.

Why they cannot benefit from DominoJ

These patterns focus on their structures and object creation, but DominoJ does not have the ability to refine structure. Although DominoJ can replace method implementation, it cannot replace the constructor to refine object creation.

References

- [1] James W. Cooper. The Design Patterns Java Companion. Addison-Wesley, 1998.
- [2] E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, and J. Vlissides. Design Patterns. Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [3] Vaidas Gasiunas, Lucas Satabin, Mira Mezini, Angel Núñez, and Jacques Noyé. EScala: modular event-driven object interactions in Scala. In Proceedings of the tenth international conference on Aspect-oriented software development, AOSD '11, pages 227–240, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM.
- [4] Jan Hannemann and Gregor Kiczales. Design pattern implementation in Java and AspectJ. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGPLAN conference on Object-oriented programming, systems, languages, and applications, OOPSLA '02, pages 161–173, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.